

**Harvard
Business
Review**

STRATEGY

Navigating the Dozens of Different Strategy Options

by Martin Reeves, Knut Haanaes, and Janmejaya Sinha










































JUNE 24, 2015




*In this adaptation from the new book, *Your Strategy Needs a Strategy* (HBR Press, 2015), BCG strategy experts make sense of the all the different, and competing, approaches to strategy: Which strategy is right for your business? When and how should you implement it? The practical tool offered here helps executives answer such questions as: What replaces planning when the annual cycle is obsolete? Where can we – and when should we – shape the game to our advantage? How do we simultaneously implement different strategies across different business units?*

Executives are bombarded with bestselling ideas and best practices for achieving competitive advantage, but many of these ideas and practices contradict each other. Should you aim to be big or fast? Should you create a blue ocean, be adaptive, play to win – or forget about a sustainable competitive advantage altogether? In a business environment that is changing faster and becoming more uncertain and complex almost by the day, it's never been more important to choose the right approach to strategy.

And it has never been more difficult. The number of strategy tools and frameworks that leaders can choose from has grown massively since the birth of business strategy in the early 1960s (see the chart below – and keep scrolling, you'll get to the end eventually). And far from obvious are the answers to how these approaches relate to one another or when they should and shouldn't be deployed.

38		1990 Re-Engineering
39		1991 Commitment
40		1991 Transformational Change
41		1992 Capabilities Competition
42		1992 Mass Customization
43		1993 Ecosystem Strategy
44		1994 Competing for the Future
45		1994 Hypercompetition
46		1995 Disruptive Innovation
47		1995 Return on Quality
48		1995 Value Migration
49		1996 Bowman's Strategy Clock
50		1996 Co-opetition
51		1996 Leading Change
52		1996 Paranoid Company
53		1997 Dynamic Capabilities
54		1997 Triple Bottom Line
55		1998 3 Generic Strategies
56		1998 Value Chain
57		1998 Value Chain Deconstruction
58		1999 Continuous Strategy Process
59		1999 Delta Model
60		1999 Digital Strategy
61		1999 Dynamic Strategies
62		1999 Profit Patterns
63		1999 Temporary Advantage
64		2000 Tipping Point
65		2001 Strategy as Simple Rules
66		2002 Bottom of the Pyramid
67		2002 Serial Temporal Advantage
68		2003 Open Innovation
69		2004 Hardball
70		2004 Strategy Maps
71		2004 Value Innovation
72		2005 Blue Ocean Strategy
73		2005 Strategic Intent
74		2006 Shared Value
75		2008 Distinctive Capabilities
76		2009 Business Model Innovation
77		2009 Strategy Without Design
78		2010 Adaptive Advantage



SOURCE "COMPETITION AND BUSINESS STRATEGY IN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE," BY PANKAJ GHEMAWAT, *BUSINESS HISTORY REVIEW* 76 (SPRING 2002); *STRATEGY: A HISTORY*, BY LAWRENCE FREEDMAN; RESEARCH BY THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP STRATEGY INSTITUTE

© HBR.ORG

It's not that we lack powerful ways to approach strategy; it's that we lack a robust way to select the right ones for the right circumstances. The five forces framework for strategy may be valid in one arena, blue ocean or open innovation in another, but each approach to strategy tends to be presented or perceived as a panacea. Managers and other business leaders face a dilemma: with increasingly diverse environments to manage and rising stakes to get it right, how do they identify the most effective approach to business strategy and marshal the right thinking and behaviors to conceive and execute it, supported by the appropriate frameworks and tools?

To address the combined challenge of increased dynamism and diversity of business environments as well as the proliferation of approaches, we propose a unifying choice framework: the strategy palette. This framework was created to help leaders match their approach to strategy to the circumstances at hand and execute it effectively, to combine different approaches to cope with multiple or changing environments, and, as leaders, to animate the resulting collage of approaches.

The strategy palette consists of five archetypal approaches to strategy – basic colors, if you will – which can be applied to different parts of your business: from geographies to industries to functions to stages in a firm’s life cycle, tailored to the particular environment that each part of the business faces.


Five Strategy Environments

Strategy is, in essence, problem solving, and the best approach depends upon the specific problem at hand. Your environment dictates your approach to strategy. You need to assess the environment and then match and apply the appropriate approach. But how do you characterize the business environment, and how do you choose which approach to strategy is best suited to the job of defining a winning course of action?

Business environments differ along three easily discernible dimensions: Predictability (can you forecast it?), malleability (can you, either alone or in collaboration with others, shape it?), and harshness (can you survive it?). Combining these dimensions into a matrix reveals five distinct environments, each of which requires a distinct approach to strategy and execution.

5 Approaches to Strategy

And the business environment in which you might use each one.



SOURCE BCG

© HBR.ORG

Each environment corresponds to a distinct archetypal approach to strategy, or color in the strategy palette, as follows: predictable classical environments lend themselves to strategies of position, which are based on advantage achieved through scale or differentiation or capabilities and are achieved through comprehensive analysis and planning. Adaptive environments require continuous experimentation because planning does not work under conditions of rapid change and unpredictability. In a visionary setting, firms win by being the first to create a new market or to disrupt an existing one. In a shaping

environment, firms can collaboratively shape an industry to their advantage by orchestrating the activities of other stakeholders. Finally, under the harsh conditions of a renewal environment, a firm needs to first conserve and free up resources to ensure its viability and then go on to choose one of the other four approaches to rejuvenate growth and ensure long-term prosperity. The resulting overriding imperatives, at the simplest level, vary starkly for each approach:

- Classical: Be big.
- Adaptive: Be fast.
- Visionary: Be first.
- Shaping: Be the orchestrator.
- Renewal: Be viable.

Using the right approach pays off. In our research, firms that successfully match their strategy to their environment realized significantly better returns— 4-8% of total shareholder return – over firms that didn't. Yet around half of all companies we looked at mismatch their approach to strategy to their environment in some way.

Let's delve a little deeper to see how to win using each of the basic colors of strategy and why each works best under specific circumstances.

Classical

Leaders taking a classical approach to strategy believe that the world is predictable, that the basis of competition is stable, and that advantage, once obtained, is sustainable. Given that they cannot change their environment, such firms seek to position themselves optimally within it. Such positioning can be based on superior size, differentiation, or capabilities.

Positional advantage is sustainable in a classical environment: the environment is predictable and develops gradually without major disruptions.

To achieve winning positions, classical leaders employ the following thought flow: they analyze the basis of competitive advantage and the fit between their firm's capabilities and the market and forecast how these will develop over time. Then, they construct a plan to build and sustain advantaged positions, and, finally, they execute it rigorously and efficiently.

Mars, the global manufacturer of confectionery and pet food, successfully executes a classical approach to strategy. Mars focuses on categories and brands where it can lead and obtain a scale advantage, and it creates value by growing those categories. This approach has helped Mars build itself into a profitable \$35 billion company and multi-category leader over the course of a century.

Classical strategy is probably the approach with which you are the most familiar. In fact, for many managers, it may be the approach that defines strategy. Classical strategy is what is taught in business schools and practiced in some form in the majority of strategy functions in major enterprises.

Adaptive

Firms employ an adaptive approach when the business environment is neither predictable nor malleable. When prediction is hard and advantage is short-lived, the only shield against continuous disruption is a readiness and an ability to repeatedly change oneself. In an adaptive environment, winning comes from adapting to change by continuously experimenting and identifying new options more quickly and economically than others. The classical strategist's mantra of sustainable competitive advantage becomes one of serial temporary advantage.

To be successful at strategy through experimentation, adaptive firms master three essential thinking steps: they continuously vary their approach, generating a range of strategic options to test. They carefully select the most successful ones to scale up and exploit. And as the environment changes, the firms rapidly iterate on this evolutionary loop to ensure that they continuously renew their advantage. An adaptive

approach is less cerebral than a classical one—advantage arises through the company’s continuously trying new things and not through its analyzing, predicting, and optimizing.

Tata Consultancy Services, the India-based information technology (IT) services and solutions company, operates in an environment it can neither predict nor change. It continuously adapts to repeated shifts in technology—from client servers to cloud computing—and the resulting changes that these shifts cause in their customers’ businesses and in the basis of competition. By taking an adaptive approach that focuses on monitoring the environment, strategic experimentation, and organizational flexibility, Tata Consultancy Services has grown from \$155 million in revenue in 1996 to \$1 billion in 2003 and more than \$13 billion in 2013 to become the second-largest pure IT services company in the world.

Visionary

Leaders taking a visionary approach believe that they can reliably create or re-create an environment largely by themselves. Visionary firms win by being the first to introduce a revolutionary new product or business model. Though the environment may look uncertain to others, visionary leaders see a clear opportunity for the creation of a new market segment or the disruption of an existing one, and they act to realize this possibility.

This approach works when the visionary firm can single-handedly build a new, attractive market reality. A firm can be the first to apply a new technology or to identify and address a major source of customer dissatisfaction or a latent need. The firm can innovate to address a tired industry business model or can recognize a megatrend before others see and act on it.

Firms deploying a visionary approach also follow a distinct thought flow. First, visionary leaders envisage a valuable possibility that can be realized. Then they work single-mindedly to be the first to build it. Finally, they persist in executing and scaling the vision until its full potential has been realized. In contrast to the analysis and planning of classical strategy and the iterative experimentation of adaptive strategy, the visionary approach is about imagination and realization and is essentially creative.

Quintiles, which pioneered the clinical research organization (CRO) industry for outsourced pharmaceutical drug development services, is a prime example of a company employing a visionary approach to strategy. Though the industry model may have looked stable to others, its founder and chairman, Dennis Gillings, saw a clear opportunity to improve drug development by creating an entirely new business model and, in 1982, moved first to capitalize on the inevitabilities he saw. By ensuring that Quintiles moved fast and boldly, it maintained its lead and leapt well ahead of potential competition. It is today the largest player in the CRO

industry which it created and has been associated with the development or commercialization of the top fifty best-selling drugs currently on the market.

Shaping

When the environment is unpredictable but malleable, a firm has the extraordinary opportunity to lead the shaping or reshaping of a whole industry at an early point of its development, before the rules have been written or rewritten.

Such an opportunity requires you to collaborate with others because you cannot shape the industry alone—and you need others to share the risk, contribute complementary capabilities, and build the new market quickly before competitors mobilize. A shaping firm therefore operates under a high degree of unpredictability, given the nascent stage of industry evolution it faces and the participation of multiple stakeholders that it must influence but cannot fully control.

In the shaping approach, firms engage other stakeholders to create a shared vision of the future at the right point in time. They build a platform through which they can orchestrate collaboration and then evolve that platform and its associated stakeholder ecosystem by scaling it and maintaining its flexibility and diversity. Shaping strategies are very

different from classical, adaptive, or visionary strategies—they concern ecosystems rather than individual enterprises and rely as much on collaboration as on competition.

Novo Nordisk employed a shaping strategy to win in the Chinese diabetes care market since the 1990s. Novo couldn't predict the exact path of market development, since the diabetes challenge was just beginning to emerge in China, but by collaborating with patients, regulators, and doctors, the company could influence the rules of the game. Now, Novo is the uncontested market leader in diabetes care in China, with over 60 percent insulin market share.


Renewal

The renewal approach to strategy aims to restore the vitality and competitiveness of a firm when it is operating in a harsh environment. Such difficult circumstances can be caused by a protracted mismatch between the firm's approach to strategy and its environment or by an acute external or internal shock.

EXCERPTED FROM

**Your Strategy
Needs a Strategy:
How to Choose and
Execute the Right
Approach**

When the external circumstances are so challenging that your current way of doing business cannot be sustained, decisively changing course is the only way to not only



COMPETITIVE STRATEGY
BOOK by Martin Reeves ,
Knut Haanaes , and
Janmejaya Sinha

\$32.00

ADD TO CART

+

SAVE



SHARE

survive, but also to secure another chance to thrive. A company must first recognize and react to the deteriorating environment as early as possible. Then, it needs to act decisively to restore its

viability—economizing by

refocusing the business, cutting costs, and preserving capital, while also freeing up resources to fund the next part of the renewal journey.

Finally, the firm must pivot to one of the four other approaches to strategy to ensure that it can grow and thrive again. The renewal approach differs markedly from the other four approaches to strategy: it is usually initially defensive, it involves two distinct phases, and it is a prelude to adopting one of the other approaches to strategy. Renewal has become increasingly common because of the number of companies getting out of step with their environments.

American Express's response to the financial crisis exemplifies the renewal approach. As the credit crisis hit in 2008, Amex faced the triple punch of rising default rates, slipping consumer demand, and decreasing access to capital. To survive, the company cut approximately 10 percent of its workforce, shed noncore activities, and cut ancillary investment. By 2009, Amex had saved almost \$2 billion in costs and pivoted toward

growth and innovation by engaging new partners, investing in its loyalty program, entering the deposit raising business, and embracing digital technology. As of 2014, its stock was up 800 percent from recession lows.

Applying the Strategy Palette

The strategy palette can be applied on three levels: to match and correctly execute the right approach to strategy for a specific part of the business, to effectively manage multiple approaches to strategy in different parts of the business or over time, and to help leaders to animate the resulting collage of approaches.

The strategy palette provides leaders with a new language for describing and choosing the right approach to strategy in a particular part of their business. It also provides a logical thread to connect strategizing and execution for each approach. In most companies, strategizing and execution have become artificially separated, both organizationally and temporally. Each approach entails not only a very different way of conceiving strategy but also a distinct approach to implementation, creating very different requirements for information management, innovation, organization, leadership, and culture. The strategy palette can therefore guide not only the strategic intentions but also the operational setup of a company. The table below summarizes the key elements of the strategy palette and includes specific examples of companies using the five approaches.

Comparing When, Where, and How to Use the Different Approaches to Strategy

	CLASSICAL	ADAPTIVE	VISIONARY	SHAPING	RENEWAL
<i>Core idea, or what it takes</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be big 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be fast 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be first 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be the orchestrator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be viable
<i>Type of environment</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Predictable, nonmalleable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unpredictable, nonmalleable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Predictable, malleable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unpredictable, malleable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harsh
<i>Industries where approach is most visibly applicable</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Utility • Automobile • Oil and gas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Semi-conductors • Textile retail 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not industry specific (create new, disrupt existing) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some software • Smartphone apps 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial institutions in the 2008–09 crisis
<i>Indicators of the approach</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low growth • High concentration • Mature industry • Stable regulation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volatile growth • Limited concentration • Young industry • High technological change 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High growth potential • White space, no direct competition • Limited regulation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fragmentation • No dominant player, platform • Shapable regulation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low growth, decline, crisis • Restricted financing • Negative cash flows
<i>How</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyze, plan, execute 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vary, select, scale up 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Envisage, build, persist 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engage, orchestrate, evolve 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • React (or anticipate), economize, grow
<i>Measures of success</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale • Market share 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycle time • New product vitality index (NPVI) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First to market • New user customer satisfaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem growth and profitability • NPVI 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost savings • Cash flow
<i>Related approaches</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experience curve • BCG Matrix • Five Forces • Capabilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time-based competition • Temporary advantage • Adaptive advantage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blue Ocean • Innovator’s dilemma 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Networks • Ecosystems • Platforms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transformation • Turnaround
<i>Key examples</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P&G under Lafley • Mars under Michaels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tata Consultancy Services under Chandrasekaran 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amazon.com under Bezos • Quintiles under Gillings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apple under Jobs • Novo Nordisk under 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amex under Chenault • AIG under Benmosche

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3M under McKnight 		Sørensen	
<i>Key traps</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overapplication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning the unplannable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wrong vision 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overmanaged ecosystem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No second phase

SOURCE BCG SYNTHESIS

© HBR.ORG

The palette can also help leaders to “de-average” their business (decompose it into its component parts, each requiring a characteristic approach to strategy) and effectively combine multiple approaches to strategy across different business units, geographies, and stages of a firm’s life cycle. Large corporations are now stretched across a more diverse and faster-changing range of business contexts. Almost all large firms comprise multiple businesses and geographies, each with a distinct strategic character, and thus require the simultaneous execution of different approaches to strategy. The right approach for a fast-evolving technology unit is unlikely to be the same as for a more mature one. And the approach in a rapidly developing economy is likely to be very different for the same business operating in a more mature one.

Inevitably, any business or business model goes through a life cycle, each stage of which requires a different approach. Businesses are usually created in the visionary or shaping quadrants of the strategy palette and tend to migrate counterclockwise through adaptive and classical quadrants before being disrupted by further innovations and entering a new cycle, although the exact path can vary. Apple, for example, created

its iPhone using a visionary approach, then used a shaping strategy to develop a collaborative ecosystem with app developers, telecom firms, and content providers. And as competitors jostle for position with increasingly convergent offerings, it is likely that their strategies will become increasingly adaptive or classical. Leaders themselves play a vital role in the application of the strategy palette by setting and adjusting the context for strategy. They read the environment to determine which approach to strategy to apply where and to put the right people in place to execute it.

Moreover, business leaders play a critical role of selling the integrated strategy narrative externally and internally. They continuously animate the strategy collage – the combination of multiple approaches to strategy – keeping it dynamic and up-to-date by asking the right questions, by challenging assumptions to prevent a dominant logic from clouding the perspective, and by putting their weight behind critical change initiatives.

To explore and apply these ideas to your own situation, we have developed a companion iPad app. To download the iPad app, visit Apple's App Store and search for "Your Strategy Needs a Strategy." You can also find it by visiting our website:

www.bcgperspectives.com/yourstrategynedsastrategy.

This excerpt is adapted from the book *Your Strategy Needs a Strategy: How to Choose and Execute the Right Approach* by Martin Reeves, Knut Haanaes, and Janmejaya Sinha (HBR Press, 2015)

Martin Reeves is Director of the Boston Consulting Group Bruce Henderson Institute and a senior partner based in New York City. He is the lead author of the new book *Your Strategy Needs a Strategy* (HBR Press, 2015).

Knut Haanaes is a partner in the Geneva office of the Boston Consulting Group and the global leader of BCG's Strategy practice area.

Janmejaya Sinha is chairman of BCG Asia Pacific, and a senior partner in the Mumbai office.

This article is about STRATEGY

 FOLLOW THIS TOPIC

Related Topics: COMPETITION | STRATEGY EXECUTION

Comments

Leave a Comment



POST


10 COMMENTS

Alp Kara 18 days ago

Why the Lean Startup by Eric Ries or Customer Development by Steve Blank not listed?

REPLY

2  0 

 [JOIN THE CONVERSATION](#)

POSTING GUIDELINES

We hope the conversations that take place on HBR.org will be energetic, constructive, and thought-provoking. To comment, readers must sign in or register. And to ensure the quality of the discussion, our moderating team will review all comments and may edit them for clarity, length, and relevance. Comments that are overly promotional, mean-spirited, or off-topic may be deleted per the moderators' judgment. All postings become the property of Harvard Business Publishing.